SECRET
Central Intelligence Agency





file copy

25X1

Washington, D. C. 20505

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

24 January 1985

Impact of US Attacks on Iran

Summary

Tehran likely would respond to any US strike—even a minor one—through terrorist attacks against US facilities in the region and possibly beyond, or a military attack against US forces in the Gulf—or both. A limited or ineffective strike would strengthen the position of Iranian radicals who favor aggressive export of the revolution. They would argue that the US cannot counteract the "forces of Islam" and would push for increased subversion and terrorism. Moreover, the Islamic Revolution has inspired fanatics who now act independently of Tehran and who might retaliate against the US even without orders from Iran.

25X1

There are potential targets, however, whose loss would seriously damage Iran's economy or military capability. We believe an effective strike on such targets would ultimately help Iranian pragmatists reduce the power of their radical colleagues.

25X1

Iran has moderated its behavior in the past when confronted by heavy pressure. Tehran ended the hostage crisis, for example, when its leaders believed a more aggressive US administration had been elected. The Iranians also refrained from continuing major terrorist attacks in

This paper was prepared by the Persian Gulf Division, Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief, Persian Gulf Division, NESA,

25X1

NESA M 85-10028X

25X1

SECRET

Sanitize	ed Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/09 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000405780001-2	
,	·	25X1
<i>t</i> ·		
i.	•	
	3 Ann	
	Baghdad when Iraq threatened to execute important Shia prisoners if the attacks continued.	25X1
	A major US strike likely would cause Iran to redouble its efforts to improve relations with the USSR. The Iranians would seek arms from Moscow, particularly air defense equipment and anti-ship weapons. We do not believe Tehran wishes to be tied to the Soviets in a close or long-term relationship, but the regime could seek to accomodate the Soviets if it believed the threat from the US was particularly grave.	25 X 1
	So long as Moscow did not believe the US attack was a prelude for an invasion of Iran, its response would be limited. The Soviets probably would respond favorably to Iranian requests for equipment to augment air and coastal defenses. They would hope thereby to increase Soviet influence in Iran while seeking to minimize any adverse	
	impact on relations with Baghdad by emphasizing that Soviet assistance was in response to US actions.	25X1
	Reactions from the Gulf Arab states to a retaliatory US strike against Iran would depend on the justification, location, severity, and success of the US action. Oman and Saudi Arabia, both of whom fear being tagged as American puppets, would be unlikely to sanction use of their military facilities in support of a US strike.	25X1
	* * * *	
_		
Potenti	al Targets	
targets success weaknes to resp pragmat	y US strike is likely to engender a quick Iranian reaction against US. US strikes against targets of only minimal importance, even if ful, will be interpreted by many in the leadership as evidence of USs. Attacks against major targets would still cause the Iranian regime and forcefully against the US but the long term effect could strengthen ists in the regime. Direct US strikes on Iran might cause the Iranians mpt terrorist attacks inside the United States.	25X1
<u>Mi</u>	litary	
weakene value m targets credibl limit I coasts. personn	ve years of war against Iraq and a continuing arms embargo have the Iranian Armed Forces considerably, leaving relatively few high allitary targets in the country. The destruction of minor military probably would convince Iranian leaders that the US threat is not e. The loss of certain facilities and weapons, however, would severely ranian capabilities against Iraq and Tehran's ability to defend its. But major strikes would result in casualties among Iranian military sel, eroding the considerable good will toward the United States that exists in the regular forces.	25X1
<u>Ear</u> giv	Ty Warning Radars at Bandar Abbas, Chah Bahar, and Jask. These radars to Iran warning of air attacks over the southern part of the country.	

2 SFCRFT

Although their loss would not affect Iran's military capabilities against

	25X1
Iraq, it would leave Iran vulnerable to additional US attacks. Since Iran's Air Force is incapable of defending the country even with these sites, however, Iranian leaders are likely to view their destruction as an ineffective US response.	25X1
Naval Bases at Bushehr and Bandar Abbas. Iran's remaining destroyers, frigates, and patrol boats are located at these two bases. Their loss would greatly hinder Iran's ability to protect shipping bound for Khark Island or to patrol the areas around the Strait of Hormuz.	25X1
Persian Gulf Radars and the Two F-14 Bases. Iran depends on ground radars at Bushehr and Khark, and airborne radars aboard F-14 fighter aircraft based at Isfahan and Shiraz, to provide warning of Iraqi attacks on shipping in the Gulf. Without these radars and aircraft Iran would be vulnerable to Iraqi or US attacks on Khark Island, Bushehr Naval Base, and the port of Bandar-e Khomeini. Iraq also could take advantage of the lack of Iranian defenses to increase its attacks on shipping in the northern	
Gulf. A US attack on these targets probably would be regarded by Iran as direct intervention by the US on the Iraqi side in the war.	25X1
All Iranian Air Force Bases. Over 90 percent of Iran's remaining operational combat aircraft are based at six airbases. Destruction of these aircraft would leave Iran unable to defend itself against Iraqi air attacks and further strikes by the US. The Iranian Air Force also would have almost no capability to retaliate against US forces in the Gulf. Attacks by Revolutionary Guards flying civilian aircraft, however, would still be possible.	25X1
Economic.	
Iran's economy is already experiencing major difficulties as a result of the war, ineffective management, and corruption. Sharply reduced oil revenues, Iran's only major source of foreign income, are causing the regime to clamp down on imports. Popular discontent has risen, and a number of labor strikes have recently occurred. Listed below are three economic target categories, each of which offers targets whose loss would cause damage to the economy ranging from minimal to crippling.	25X1
Ports:	
Bandar-e Jask and Chah Bahar. These ports on the Gulf of Oman import negligible quantities of goods, and their loss would have no effect on the Iranian economy.	25X1
Bushehr. Primarily a naval base, it handles about seven percent of Iran's seaborne imports and four percent of total imports. Its loss would have little economic impact, and other ports probably could make up most of the loss.	25X1
Bandar-e Khomeini. Iran's biggest port before the war, its approaches are frequently subject to Iraqi attacks that limit its use to about 70 percent of prewar capacity. The port currently handles about 33 percent of Iran's seaborne imports and 20 percent of overall imports.	25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/09 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000405780001-2 SECRET

	20/1
• .	
3 4.	
Bandar-e Abbas. This port handles 60 percent of seaborne imports and about 37 percent of total imports. It recently was expanded and a railroad link with the interior is being built. The loss of Bandar-e Abbas would be a severe blow to the Iranian economy and other ports and overland routes through Turkey and the USSR could not replace the loss. Food shortages almost certainly would worsen, especially if storage facilities at the port are destroyed.	25X1
Oil Export Facilities	
Khark Island Terminal. Khark is the most critical oil facility in Iran and its loss would have a severe impact on Iran's economy. Khark handled almost 90 percent of Iran's oil exports in 1984averaging 1.5 million b/d. Large excess loading and storage capacity at Khark means that severe damage throughout the facility would be required to stop operations. Existing foreign assets and oil revenues from Lavan and Sirri could maintain the Iranian economy for only a few months if Khark were	
destroyed.	25X1
,	
Lavan and Sirri Terminals. These facilities have become important because they are out of range of Iraqi attacks. Exports currently average about 80,000 b/d from Sirri and 120,000 b/d from Lavan. There is little loading or storage flexibility at either terminal and extensive damage would disable them for an extended period. Iran could offset the loss of only these facilities by increasing exports from Khark Island.	25X1
Oil Refineries and Electrical Plants.	į
Refineries. Iran's most important refineries are in Tehran and Isfahan, each of which contain 35 percent of Iran's domestic capacity. Tabriz refinery has about 15 percent of capacity and Shiraz, Lavan, and Kermanshah refineries produce the rest. Iran already is suffering shortages of fuel oil and gasoline, and the destruction of substantial refinery capacity would severely disrupt Iran's economy and ability to maintain the war with Iraq. The impact would be especially severe if the US strikes occurred in the winter.	 25X1
Electrical Plants. Eight power plants provide most of the electricity for Tehran, Isfahan, and Khuzestan Province. The Neka and Shahriar thermal powerplants and the Rey gas turbine plant provide 85 percent of the power for the Tehran area. Isfahan relies on two thermal plants while two hydropower plants and a thermal plant provide nearly all of the electricity for Khuzestan Province. The loss of electric generating capacity in any of these areas would stifle local economic activity and, in the case of Khuzestan, could seriously hinder Iranian military operations. It would take several years to repair major destruction of	
these facilities.	25X1
act on Iranian-Soviet Relations	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/09 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000405780001-2

Impa

Iran would try to improve relations with the Soviets as a result of a US strike. Iraq's campaign against oil tankers calling at Iranian ports initially scared Tehran, and it attempted to sound out Moscow on improved ties. Tehran resumed that effort after the US and Iraq reestablished

4 SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/09 : CIA-RDP85T01058R00040578000	01-2
SEGNET	25X1
<u> </u>	•
: 4.,	
diplomatic relations. Both times, however, Tehran was unwilling to mak concessions to the Soviets to reduce bilateral tensions. The clerics r deeply suspicious of Moscow's intentions toward Iran and fear the appea Marxism to Iran's educated youth.	emain
The regime, however, would take a very pragmatic view toward relat with Moscow if threatened by the US. It could seek to accomodate the U for example, by cancelling trials of leaders of the Tudeh Communist Par by reducing propaganda against Soviet involvement in Afghanistan.	SSR,
In return, Tehran would seek arms from the Soviets, particularly a defense equipment and anti-ship weapons. We believe Moscow would respo favorably. Moscow would also warn the US to cease such attacks and not intervene in Iran. The Soviets probably also would step up air defens activity within the USSR, augment their limited naval forces in the reg but keep them at a safe distanceand might mobilize airborne units and forces near Iran.	nd to e ion
If the Soviets believed that US strikes were a prelude to the occu of Iranian ports or oil fields, they probably would seek to deter the U threatening to come to Iran's aid and by beginning military preparation USSR would cite provisions of the 1921 Soviet-Iranian treaty that th Khomeini regime abrogated which permits Soviet forces to intervene i in response to military action in Iran by a third party. Any chance of actual Soviet invasion, however, would be reduced considerably if Mosco believed US actions were limited and temporary.	S by s. The e n Iran an
Responses of Iran's Radical Arab Allies	
Syria would respond to an attack on its Iranian ally with predicta harsh rhetoric, but the Assad regime is likely to see potential benefit such a development. They would hope a strike would ease the difficulty Damascus confronts in justifying its support of non-Arab Iran to its fe Arabs. If a strike increased Iran's dependence on Syria in obtaining a supplies, Syria's leverage to continue extracting Iranian oil deliverie concessional rates would be increased.	s in llow rms
We expect Libya would react in part by appealing to the Soviet Unistrengthen Libyan defenses against US attack, including air defense sys Moscow so far has not yet provided. A US attack on Iran probably would Qadhafi to reconsider his reluctance to offer the Soviets increased mil access to Libyan facilities.	tems cause
Qadhafi would castigate moderate Arab regimes that withhold condem of the US. At the same time, Tripoli probably would quietly renew off revive a dialogue with Washington in an effort to lessen the US threat. Qadhafi's increased fear that the US would attack Libya with little provocation would reinforce his reluctance to engage Libya directly in terrorist operations against US personnel and facilities.	ers to

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/12/09 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000405780001-2	
•	25X1
4 4	
Reaction of the GCC states	
•	
Public and private Gulf Arab reactions to a retaliatory US strike against Iran would depend on the location, severity, and success of the US action as well as its justification. Saudi Arabia and other GCC states fear Iran's use of terrorism and subversion against them and favor actions designed to discourage such actions. But the Gulf Arabs also recognize their military and manpower weaknesses vis-a-vis Iran, and wish to avoid a direct confrontation.	25X1
All the GCC states would prefer a quick, successful, and "surgical" US strike. Saudi Arabia and Oman would be privately supportive but would wish to avoid aggravating the perception that they are US puppets. Even Kuwait might mute its criticism if the US move was clearly perceived as retaliation for an Iranian sponsored terrorist act against the US. The longer a direct US-Iranian military confrontation dragged on, the more the GCC states would feel the need to distance themselves from the US action.	25X1
These governments would be angered by unauthorized use of their territory or overflights. The Gulf states could also scale back US access if Iran stepped up intimidation of the GCC states in retaliation for a US strike.	25X1
Prompt US explanation of the actionboth privately to the Gulf governments and publiclywould be important. The Saudis and Omanisas our two major military allies in the Gulfwould appreciate being informed before the strike became public, and especially before Tehran or Moscow could present their version of events. It would be important, particularly for the Saudis and Omanis, for the US to announce that no Arah military facilitiesincluding US AWACS in Saudi Arabiawere used in the attack.	25X1 25X1
A Strike in Lebanon	
If the US struck against targets in Lebanonsite of several Iranian- sponsored terrorist attacks against the USIran would be less directly associated with the strike and hence would have less need to respond directly. The strike would also be perceived in Iran as much less threatening to the Khomeini regime. Nonetheless, Iranian surrogates would respond in ebanon.	25X1
A strike against Lebanon would be perceived in the region as more easily justified since it would hit the terrorists themselves—especially if it followed on the heels of a terrorist incident there. The GCC states would probably find it easier to be openly supportive of the US. A strike in ebanon, however, would not end terrorist incidents by Islamic radicals. Even if US actions convinced Tehran to cease aiding its fanatical supporters in the fuslim world, they would be unlikely to stop their activities. Indeed, US actions could inspire them anew to seek martyrdom while drawing more Muslims into their ranks.	25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Impact of US Attacks on Iran

Distribution:

Orig - DDCI

1 - DCI/SA/IA

1 - Executive Director

1 - Executive Registry

1 - DDI

4 - CPAS/IMC/CB

1 - C/PES

1 - NIO/NESA

1 - D/NESA

2 - NESA/PPS

1 - NESA/PG

1 - C/NESA/PG

1 - NESA/PG/I

l - Chrono DDI/NESA/PG/I

(24Jan85)

25X1